

1. Daniel (Mace), there was a crossover of between your presentation and James' in terms of the number of possessions required to be reduced? How did that help you in the early days of planning the project?

Daniel: Possessions need to be planned in advance, generally a year in advance. In the beginning we set out the key possessions needed to construct the podium.

2. Andrea (Lucideon), could you say something about the performance of the SIPS panels in fire resistance and certification terms?

Andrea: We're not at that stage for that with this product. In the past we've conducted fire testing for fire resistance coatings. Specifically, we're assessing structural performances over the years.

3. James (Castle Scaffolding), A lot of offsite projects in city centres are close to pavements and space constrained. have you considered how your system may benefit that environment?

James: It is well suited. The difference with this system is once you're building your scaffold its constantly there. If an object is falling from above it can go anywhere. This system protects from falling objects within the confines of the scaffold from when its being built and to the point that it's taken down.

4. Daniel (Mace), Are there any ways that you could have considered that could have shortened overall programme of your project further?

Daniel: In hindsight, I'd say that simplifying the design of the building would have saved time. We have a lot a triangular geometry in our building as part of the design and it's made it particularly difficult for building over the railway.

Nigel: A key message that came to me when I saw the project was that it would have helped considering offsite delivery pre-planning but there were a few constraints once planning approval had been given.

Daniel: Once planning is agreed it's difficult to agree any changes with the authority. We've taken opportunities where they arose but we could have done more if that had been considered earlier.

5. Daniel (Mace): Previously, in this series of webinars we've heard from NR - what was it like dealing with NR?

Daniel: We had a good relationship on the project. Their approach is to develop railway land and we've had a positive relationship. There was not too much they could change. Our

experience has been good. They've supported the project with no obstruction being put in the way.

Nigel: I know one of the contractors was familiar with that section of track. Did that help?

Daniel: They knew the key individuals to talk to and their supply chain understood the rail environment so that has helped a lot.

6. Andrea (Lucideon): How does the cost of low carbon compare with traditional?

Andrea: That was one of the problems at the very beginning, everyone wants less impact on the environment as well as not to spend too much money. The overall technology has progressed since back in the days, with cheaper efficient materials that you can use in order to make geopolymers more or less expensive. You can use recycled materials and so on. One of the barriers is the regulation, so during the last few years there has been a push for the standardisation of materials.